	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/532,839	DROESBEKE, GERT
	Examiner ·	Art Unit
	Tho D. Ta	2833
All Participants:	Status of Application	n: <u>Allowed</u>
(1) <u>Tho D. Ta</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mark F. Harrington.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 February 2007	Time: <u>AM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	] Applicant's representative) No	
Part I.	·	
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 1, 8, 12		
Prior art documents discussed: EP 0 398 473 A1		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Attorney agreed to the changes indicate in examiner's a		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief</li> </ul>	ation. The examiner will provide a eparate record of the substance	of the interview, since the interview
Moder		
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	Applicant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)